

Bible Study:

WAS PETER THE LEADING APOSTLE?

- **Just what is an Apostle?**
- **Have there been any since the first century?**
- **How do you recognise one?**
- **Was Peter the leading Apostle or is that a Catholic concept?**
- **Who are the 'big 3' Apostles?**
- **And why are the Apostles called "holy" – are they are on a par with the Patriarchs and Prophets?**
- **Should the senior men of God be magnified before the congregation?**

Contents

Introductory Comments	3
What about Peter?	4
The ‘Big Three’	8
Authority of the Apostles	10
Should we follow a man?	11
Council of Elders	14
What did the old WCG teach?	15
Concluding Remarks	18
Appendix: Extracts from "How Christ Gives the Church its Beliefs	22
Appendix: Herbert W Armstrong’s Ordination Certificate	27
Bibliography	28
Index	29

History Research Projects

GPO Box 864, Sydney, Australia 2001

www.originofnations.org

No limitation is placed upon reproduction of this document except that it must be reproduced in its entirety without modification or deletions. The publisher's name and address, copyright notice and this message must be included. It may be freely distributed but must be distributed without charge to the recipient.

“No one I have known has had such singleness of thought and purpose. Most of his thoughts and conversation related to God’s work and God’s Word. ... I found him to be the most generous person I have ever known.”

(Leroy Neff, former WCG Treasurer)

Introductory Comments

In this study I aim to investigate, from the scriptures, the role of Peter in the early Church of God. Was he the leading Apostle or one among equals? How was he viewed by God's Word? Did the Almighty work with his strengths and utilise them in the furtherance of His Work?

Or was Peter a Pope or dictator? What do the scriptures reveal?

One of the things in His short life that kept Christ going in a darkened world was his desire to do a Work on behalf of the Father who *sent* him (John 4:34).

Notice, Christ was *sent* by the Father (John 5:22-23). The word *sent* is a characteristic word used by John which seems to place emphasis to the reader or hearer that he, as an original Apostle, must be acknowledged as such and who, therefore, remains faithful to the original teachings.

Why did John place such emphasis on the word *sent*? Is it because that he, as the remaining of the Apostles knew that the entire concept of Church governance was being watered-down? Or that many brethren were departing the faith once delivered to the Apostles – that is the continuation of the original religion or Way of Life that God has revealed to His patriarchs and prophets? A religion that was basically the same, but now had experienced a fundamental step in His Plan – the fulfilment of the coming of the Lamb of God to fulfil the Passover typology.

The Way of Life that is:

- Torah-based (ie the Laws)
- which encourages the development of holy righteous character by true believers inculcating the beatitudes (= beautiful attitudes) contained within Matt. 6-8)
- growing the fruit of the Spirit (ICor 12)
- fulfilling one's spiritual gifts (Gal 5:22-26)

So John appears to be saying "I am the last one alive that has been sent of God. I want you to get back to the Torah – get back on track. Because I am the only senior man who knows where the track is, follow me."¹

Now consider this: an Apostle is one that is *sent* forth – it follows that Christ was an Apostle (in fact He is called an Apostle in Heb 3:1). Just as He was an evangelist (= herald of good tidings – see Matt 1:1), Pastor or Shepherd (I Peter 5:4; 2:25), Elder, (Christ is in effect an elder given His functions), Teacher (Rabbi = a title of honour given to Jewish teachers – Matt 23:8; John 1:38) and Deacon (see Phil 2:7).

¹ There are many more New Testament Scriptures on the one *sent*. Similarly in the Old Testament: Ex 3:10, 14-15; 5:22; 7:16; 23:20; Neh 2:5-6; 6:12; Is 6:8; 16:1; Jer 1:7; 7:25; 14:14-15; 23:21, 32; 26:5, 12, 15; 27:15; 28:9, 15; 29:9, 19, 31; 35:15; Ezek 2:3-4; 3:5-6; 13:6; Mic 6:4; Hag 1:12; Zech 2:8-9, 11; 4:9; 6:15; Mal 3:1, 4-5.

What about Peter?

Enter Peter and the controversy over whether he was chief or leader. First of all, he was not a chief in any warlike sense. Secondly, however, was he a leader of the other Apostles? Did the other Apostles form a sort of Council of Elders with Peter functioning as a type of Chairman – or at the very least – as leading Apostle?²

We shall see.

Turn to John 1:35-42 and Matt 4:18-19. Here we find that Simon was renamed Cephas or stone by Christ Himself. Why? Does this carry any significance?

Christ was changing his name just like Abram was changed to Abraham and Jacob's changed to Israel. Therefore this must have conveyed to the others that Peter was going to be used in a mighty and new way in God's Plan. This was not some little event – it was important enough to be recorded in the Bible for us to ponder and understand today.

In Matthew 10:2 we find the term “the first, Simon”:

“And when he had called unto *him* his twelve disciples, he gave them power *against* unclean spirits, to cast them out, and to heal all manner of sickness and all manner of disease.

Now the names of the twelve apostles are these; The first, Simon, who is called Peter, and Andrew his brother; James *the son* of Zebedee, and John his brother;

Philip, and Bartholomew; Thomas, and Matthew the publican; James *the son* of Alphaeus, and Lebbaeus, whose surname was Thaddaeus;

Simon the Canaanite, and Judas Iscariot, who also betrayed him.

These twelve Jesus sent forth, and commanded them, saying, Go not into the way of the Gentiles, and into *any* city of the Samaritans enter ye not”
(Matt 10:1-5)

This cannot refer to him being called first into the truth prior to the other Apostles. Andrew was called first. So what does this term mean? What was Christ, in effect, saying?

In the New Testament, the number of times an Apostle is mentioned may assist in providing clues to whether or not. The ‘big three’ are mentioned as follows:

John	49
James	21
Peter	164
Simon	49

² It is interesting that they are called Apostles prior to the founding of the early Church: Matt 10:2. See also Mark 3:14

And if we add together the number of times Peter, Simon and Cephas are mentioned, we come to 219 which is far in excess than any of the others. [NB: the totals above will be re-checked]

Variety of Proofs

The New Testament provides us with a number of proofs for Peter's leadership:

- He was the first to recognise Christ, respond and show faith (Matt 14:22-28)
- Peter was given a special blessing, one for him alone (Matt 16:13-17)
- Among the 'big three' Peter is mentioned first (Matt 17:1-4; 26:36-38)
- In Matt 17:24, tribute collectors didn't go to the group as a whole, but to the known leader of the group
- He may even be said to be 'Prince' of the Apostles (Matt 26:38-40, 58)
- In many scriptures Peter is singled out by name, while all the others are called "they". Why? Because Peter was the leader (see Mark 5:35-40; 16:6-7; Luke 5:1-10; 8:43-45)
- He is singled out to feed the flock (John 21:1-3, 6-7, 8-17).

What about the time Peter denied Christ? Does that somehow lower his esteem and leadership potential, thus proving he was not the leading Apostle?

Nope, because he repented and, it should be noted, all the disciples denied Him (Matt 14:25-27; 26:30-35).

Why was Peter raised to Apostleship? What outstanding characteristics did he possess that God could use for His cause?

John may provide a clue:

"Jesus answered, I have told you that I am *he*: if therefore ye seek me, let these go their way:

That the saying might be fulfilled, which he spake, Of them which thou gavest me have I lost none.

Then Simon Peter having a sword drew it, and smote the high priest's servant, and cut off his right ear. The servant's name was Malchus.

Then said Jesus unto Peter, Put up thy sword into the sheath: the cup which my Father hath given me, shall I not drink it?

And Simon Peter followed Jesus, and *so did* another disciple: that disciple was known unto the high priest, and went in with Jesus into the palace of the high priest." (John 18:8-11, 15)

This other disciple may have been John himself.

“But Peter stood at the door without. Then went out that other disciple, which was known unto the high priest, and spake unto her that kept the door, and brought in Peter.

Then saith the damsel that kept the door unto Peter, Art not thou also *one* of this man's disciples? He saith, I am not.

And Simon Peter stood and warmed himself. They said therefore unto him, Art not thou also *one* of his disciples? He denied *it*, and said, I am not.

One of the servants of the high priest, being *his* kinsman whose ear Peter cut off, saith, Did not I see thee in the garden with him?

Peter then denied again: and immediately the cock crew.” (John 18:16-17, 25-26)

What this serves to show is not only did Peter deny Christ, he also showed exceptional courage to be where he was. He just could not muster up enough courage to acknowledge Christ.

Then in Luke 22:31-32 Satan singled out Peter because he was head of the Apostles.

Now turn to Mark 5:35-40; 16:6-7; Luke 5:1-10; 8:43-45 – note that Peter is mentioned by name – the other Apostles are simply called “they”.³

Consider also the title *Acts of the Apostles* (plural), Peter (in the first half of the book) and Paul (second half) – dominate the book – the other Apostles are hardly mentioned at all. This is a further clue to understanding the role of these two and Peter in particular.

On the other hand Paul and John have more of their writings canonised and preserved as scripture. Why is this so?” Perhaps because Peter was concentrating more and more on reaching the lost sheep of the House of Israel (Matt 10:5-7; IPet 1:1; James 1:1). While Paul remained in the region to evangelise the gentiles. God was now calling gentiles into the light and He was now inspiring writings from the one He had chosen who was the leading light to them. They were in the region chiefly within the bounds of the Roman Empire while many of the other Apostles, Peter included, who disappeared into Israelitish lands.

Now look up the following scriptures: Acts 1:13, 15; 2:14, 38; 3:1, 6, 11-12; 4:8, 13, 19; 5:3, 8-9 12, 15, 29; 8:14, 20; 9:32, 34, 39-40; 10:9, 25-28, 34-35; 11:1-4 – for here it is Peter – always Peter – who preaches, performs miracles etc.

See also Acts 1:13; 4:13; 8:14; Luke 6:14; 8:51; 9:28; John 21:2; Matt 10:2; IPet 5:1; Mark 3:16 – in all cases Peter is mentioned first. Why? Obviously because he is the leading Apostle.

Then we can examine the proceedings from the first ministerial conference found in Acts 15:4-6 – these issues were taken to the ‘head quarters’ because Peter was there. Indeed, a

³ See also Luke 9:28-29; 22:8; Acts 2:47; ICor 15:5; 3:21; 4:1; 1:12.

read of verses 7-13 shows that it was Peter that announced the matter! Yet all were involved. For instance James states “my sentence is” (ie my judgment or opinion) (Acts 15:19).

In Gal. 2:6, 9, 11 – here we find that Paul publicly corrected Peter – does this show that Peter was not the leading Apostle after all? Not so – it merely shows that Peter was human and needed to overcome certain problems. This does demonstrate that before the Law and the Way of God, we are all equal. Everyone should be able to take correction from another Christian.

Then in IIPet 1:12-16 we read:

“Wherefore I will not be negligent to **put you always in remembrance of these things**, though ye know *them*, and be established in the present truth.

Yea, I think it meet, as long as I am in this tabernacle, to stir you up by **putting you in remembrance**;

Knowing that shortly I must put off *this* my tabernacle, even as our Lord Jesus **Christ hath shewed me**.

Moreover **I will endeavour that ye may be able after my decease to have these things always in remembrance**.

For we have not followed cunningly devised fables, when we made known unto you the power and coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, but were eyewitnesses of his majesty.”

Well, how are these things he is writing about always to be in remembrance?

IIPeter 3:1-2, 15-17

“This second epistle, beloved, I now write unto you; in *both* which I stir up your pure minds **by way of remembrance**:

That ye may be mindful of the words which were spoken before by the holy prophets, and of **the commandment of us the apostles** of the Lord and Saviour:

And account *that* the longsuffering of our Lord *is* salvation; even as our beloved brother Paul also according to the wisdom given unto him hath written unto you;

As also in all *his* epistles, speaking in them of these things; in which are some things hard to be understood, which they that are unlearned and unstable wrest, as *they do* also **the other scriptures**, unto their own destruction.

Ye therefore, beloved, seeing ye know *these things* before, beware lest ye also, being led away with the error of the wicked, fall from your own steadfastness.”

Here Peter has raised Paul’s writings to the level of Divinely inspired writings because he includes them among “the other scriptures” – the collected writings of the Apostles

henceforth are to form part of the Word of God – the Bible! Indeed, all of the writers of the New Testament were Apostles with the exception of Luke (apparently author of Acts) and Mark.

After all, the Apostles are:

- Holy (Eph 3:5)
- Performed miracles (Acts 5:12)
- Promulgate commandments or orders from God akin to the Laws of Moses⁴ (II Pet 3:1-2)
- Christ shows them things (eg II Pet 1:14)
- Are foundations of the household of God alongside the prophets (Eph 5:20)
- The names of the 12 Apostles appear on the foundations to the New Jerusalem (Rev 21:14). Given Paul's prominence it is likely he will be given accolades of a similar nature.

... and so they are inspired to write Scriptures as directed from God as Peter points out (II Pet 3:15-17). In II Pet 1:12-16 he is clearly demonstrating that for the household of God to always remember his words due to his impending death, he “will endeavour that ye may be able after my decease to have these things always in remembrance” – how could this be?

Clearly only by his words being canonized along those of other Apostles. Copies must have been made and John finalised the canonization which will be discussed in a future paper.

The ‘Big Three’

It is common knowledge among many Bible scholars that the Bible reveals three central Apostles, who seemed to be the leaders, for we are told by Paul in Gal. 3:9:

“And when **James, Cephas, and John, who seemed to be pillars**, perceived the grace that was given unto me, they gave to me and Barnabas the right hands of fellowship; that we *should go* unto the heathen, and they unto the circumcision.”

Here Paul identifies them as the major pillars or mainstays of the Church.⁵ The term pillar is also a term referring to ‘teachers of the Law’. It seems that James was the leader of the headquarters congregation at Jerusalem, Peter the leading Apostle and John appears to have succeeded Peter as leader.

⁴ *Strong's Concordance* data for commandments as referred to in the *Bible Works* software package: “1785 **evntolh**, *entole* {en-tol-ay}”

Meaning: 1) an order, command, charge, precept, injunction 1a) that which is prescribed to one by reason of his office 2) a commandment 2a) a prescribed rule in accordance with which a thing is done 2a1) a precept relating to lineage, of the Mosaic precept concerning the priesthood 2a2) ethically used of the commandments in the Mosaic law or Jewish tradition

Origin: from 1781; TDNT - 2:545,234; n f

Usage: AV - commandment 69, precept 2; 71.”

⁵ Over the decades, the WCG's pillars were Herbert Armstrong, Herman Hoeh, Raymond McNair, Roderick Meredith, Leroy Neff, Leon Walker etc, etc.

Acts 1:13:

“And when they were come in, they went up into an upper room, where abode both **Peter, and James, and John**, and Andrew, Philip, and Thomas, Bartholomew, and Matthew, James *the son* of Alphaeus, and Simon Zelotes, and Judas *the brother* of James.”

Matthew 17:1-3:

“And after six days **Jesus taketh Peter, James, and John his brother**, and bringeth them up into an high mountain apart, And was transfigured before them: and his face did shine as the sun, and his raiment was white as the light. And, behold, there appeared unto them Moses and Elias talking with him.”

Matt 26:36-38:

“Then cometh Jesus with them unto a place called Gethsemane, and saith unto the disciples, Sit ye here, while I go and pray yonder. And he took with him **Peter and the two sons of Zebedee**, and began to be sorrowful and very heavy. Then saith he unto them, My soul is exceeding sorrowful, even unto death: tarry ye here, and watch with me.”

Mark 5:36-37:

“As soon as Jesus heard the word that was spoken, he saith unto the ruler of the synagogue, Be not afraid, only believe. **And he suffered no man to follow him, save Peter, and James, and John** the brother of James.” (see Luke 8:51)

Luke 5:8-10:

“When **Simon Peter** saw *it*, he fell down at Jesus' knees, saying, Depart from me; for I am a sinful man, O Lord. For he was astonished, and all that were with him, at the draught of the fishes which they had taken: And so *was* also **James, and John**, the sons of Zebedee, which were partners with Simon. And Jesus said unto Simon, Fear not; from henceforth thou shalt catch men.” (Mark 1:16-20)

Then in Acts 3:1-3; 4:13, 19; 8:14 we have Peter and John together. While in chapter 15 James chairs the first Church of God ministerial conference – a type of Sanhedrin.

In this context the number 3 seems to follow Peter around!:

- he was leader of the 'big 3'
- he denied Christ 3 times
- 3 times Christ asked him if he loved him
- Christ asked him on 3 occasions to feed His sheep
- 3 times a voice told him that what God has cleansed must not be called common or unclean

Why is this so? Probably because the number 3 in scripture symbolises finality and a symbolic stamp of approval for Peter's leadership.

Authority of the Apostles

Paul often commences his letters with statements of his Divinely ordained authority:

Romans 1:1-2, 5:

“Paul, a servant of Jesus Christ, called *to be* an apostle, separated unto the gospel of God,
(Which he had promised afore by his prophets in the holy scriptures,)
By whom we have received grace and apostleship, for obedience to the faith among all nations, for his name.”

1 Corinthians 1:1:

“Paul, called *to be* an apostle of Jesus Christ through the will of God, and Sosthenes *our* brother.”

II Corinthians 1:1:

“Paul, an apostle of Jesus Christ by the will of God, and Timothy *our* brother, unto the church of God which is at Corinth, with all the saints which are in all Achaia.”

Galatians 1:1-3:

“Paul, an apostle, (not of men, neither by man, but by Jesus Christ, and God the Father, who raised him from the dead;)
And all the brethren which are with me, unto the churches of Galatia:
Grace *be* to you and peace from God the Father, and *from* our Lord Jesus Christ.”

Philippians 1:1-2:

“Paul and Timotheus, the servants of Jesus Christ, to all the saints in Christ Jesus which are at Philippi, with the bishops and deacons:

Grace *be* unto you, and peace, from God our Father, and *from* the Lord Jesus Christ.”

II Timothy 1:1:

“Paul, an apostle of Jesus Christ by the will of God, according to the promise of life which is in Christ Jesus.”

Titus 1:1:

“Paul, a servant of God, and an apostle of Jesus Christ, according to the faith of God's elect, and the acknowledging of the truth which is after godliness.”

The other Apostles likewise make statements of their Divine authority and mission:

James 1:1:

“James, a servant of God and of the Lord Jesus Christ, to the twelve tribes which are scattered abroad, greeting.”

I Peter 1:1:

“Peter, an apostle of Jesus Christ, to the strangers scattered throughout Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia, and Bithynia.”

II Peter 1:1-2:

“Simon Peter, a servant and an apostle of Jesus Christ, to them that have obtained like precious faith with us through the righteousness of God and our Saviour Jesus Christ:
Grace and peace be multiplied unto you through the knowledge of God, and of Jesus our Lord.”

They were not shy to remind God's people of their Divine appointment and mission. It was good for people to remember this – it places their writings and authority in perspective. Herbert Armstrong likewise reminded us of his calling and mission from time-to-time.

Should we follow a man?

In Eph. 3:5, the Apostles are called the “holy Apostles”:

“Which in other ages was not made known unto the sons of men, as it is now revealed unto his **holy** apostles and prophets by the Spirit.”

And their offices were magnified in the congregation:

In Rom 11:13:

“For I speak to you Gentiles, inasmuch as I am the apostle of the Gentiles, I **magnify** mine office.”

In Acts 5:12-14:

“And by the hands of the apostles were many signs and wonders wrought among the people; (and they were all with one accord in Solomon’s porch. And of the rest durst no man join himself to them: but the people **magnified** them. And believers were the more added to the Lord, multitudes both of men and women.)”

See also I Tim 5:17. What does magnified mean in this context?:

Strong’s Concordance data for 3170 *megaluno* {meg-al-oo'-no}:

Meaning: 1) to make great, magnify 1a) metaph. to make conspicuous 2) to deem or declare great 2a) to esteem highly, to extol, laud, celebrate 3) to get glory and praise

Origin: from 3173; TDNT - 4:543,573;

Usage: AV - magnify 5, enlarge 2, show great 1; 8.”⁶

The men of God in the Old Testament were similarly magnified:

Jos 4:14:

“On that day the LORD **magnified** Joshua in the sight of all Israel; and they feared him, as they feared Moses, all the days of his life.”

Jos 3:7:

“And the LORD said unto Joshua, This day will I begin to **magnify** thee in the sight of all Israel, that they may know that, as I was with Moses, so I will be with thee.”

I Chron 29:24-25:

“And all the princes, and the mighty men, and all the sons likewise of king David, submitted themselves unto Solomon the king. And the LORD **magnified** Solomon exceedingly in the sight of all Israel, and bestowed upon him *such* royal majesty as had not been on any king before him in Israel.” (see also I Chron 1:1; Num 27:18-19)

⁶ As referred to in the *Bible Works* software package

IIChron 32:23:

“And many brought gifts unto the LORD to Jerusalem, and presents to Hezekiah king of Judah: so that he was **magnified** in the sight of all nations from thenceforth.”

Strong's Concordance data for **1431** ld;G' gadal {gaw-dal'}:

“**Meaning:** 1) to grow, become great or important, promote, make powerful, praise, magnify, do great things 1a) (Qal) 1a1) to grow up 1a2) to become great 1a3) to be magnified 1b) (Piel) 1b1) to cause to grow 1b2) to make great, powerful 1b3) to magnify 1c) (Pual) to be brought up 1d) (Hiphil) 1d1) to make great 1d2) to magnify 1d3) to do great things 1e) (Hithpael) to magnify oneself

Origin: a primitive root; TWOT - 315;

Usage: AV - magnify 32, great 26, grow 14, nourish up 7, grow up 6, greater 5, misc 25; 115.”⁷

How similar to the men of the Old Testament were the Apostles. They were magnified before God's people and sometimes even in the world.

So was Herbert W Armstrong – magnified before the Church and famous for his good works, programs, facilities, magazines, meeting with world leaders and so forth.

Now, believe it or not, we are told on several occasions to follow the Apostles (as they followed Christ of course):

ICor 4:16:

“Wherefore I beseech you, **be ye followers of me.**”

ICor 11:1:

“**Be ye followers of me**, even as I also *am* of Christ.”

Phil 3:17:

“Brethren, **be followers together of me**, and mark them which walk so as ye have us for an ensample.”

IThess 1:6:

“And **ye became followers of us**, and of the Lord, having received the word in much affliction, with joy of the Holy Ghost.”

⁷ As referred to in the *Bible Works* software package

IIThess 3:7, 9:

“For yourselves know how ye ought to **follow us**: for we behaved not ourselves disorderly among you ... Not because we have not power, but to make ourselves an ensample unto you to **follow us**.”

We should also follow God (Eph 5:1), Christ (Matt 10:38), the Church of God (IIThess 2:14), the Patriarchs (Heb 6:12) and that which is good (IPet 3:13).

As such, we should follow the teachings of Herbert W Armstrong!

“But why?” some may ask. Because he was clearly the human leader of the Church and fulfilled the role of an Apostle.

Council of Elders

Now we know that Herbert Armstrong appointed an Advisory Council of Elders to assist him, provide input, advise him and appoint a successor after his death⁸. These were men tried and tested over many years, loyal to the truth and the doctrines. Indeed, he provided legal means that only the Council could change doctrine. This was circumvented by his successor after his death.

This follows a Biblical tradition:

- Moses formed an equivalent to a Council – Ex 18:15-26; Num 11:16-17⁹
- Solomon, David and Rehoboam all had elders and counsellors to consult with – ISam 15:20; IISam 15:12; IKings 4:7; 8:1-3; 12:6-8; IChron 15:25
- Ezra also had advisors – Ezra 10:8; 8:16
- God also has His counsellors! – Rev 4:4, 10-11 (see too Is 24:3)

It therefore follows that the Apostles functioned in a roughly similar fashion to the above councils – it seems that they formed a council or similar, with Peter as the chair or similar.

Similarly, Herbert Armstrong set up an Advisory Council of Elders broadly patterned on the Biblical example. For indeed, accepting wise counsel is a Biblical principle.¹⁰

⁸ Scriptures on counsel include: Prov 8:14; 10:3; 11:14; 12:15; 15:22; 19:20; 20:18; 24:6. And whether the Council of Elders met regularly or not as a whole is another issue

⁹ Other scriptures on the elders under Moses and Joshua are: Ex 3:16; 17:21; 17:5-6; 19:7; 24:1; Lev 4:15; Num 11:16; 16:25; Deut 5:23; Jos 7:6; Jud 21:16; ISam 4:3

¹⁰ In my personal Bible study notes from 1981 I state: “the elders of Israel outlived Joshua and ruled Israel after his death. Might a similar occurrence occur today?” Well, it seems like it did with so many of these men ending up as leaders in at least two major groups that emerged from the WCG after its apostasy. What jiggled this thought was what happened after Joshua – read Joshua 24:1, 31; Judges 2:7

What did the old WCG teach?

Did the understanding that Peter was the human leader of the early Church something that Herbert Armstrong only believed and taught in the late 1970s to justify his position as some claim; or is it a belief that was understood earlier in the century?

It is not clear as to when this understanding was first taught, but it was certainly understood in the early 1950s and gradually developed over the next 20 years. HWA referred to Dr Hoeh's input on this in sermons.

In fact in the article "How God Calls His Ministers" published in the September 1957 *Good News* magazine, HWA recognised his apostleship:

"Many of you brethren are in scattered areas -- and do not really KNOW who all the ministers are! Usually, we have always printed in the GOOD NEWS Magazine an article about any ordinations that have occurred -- but many new members have been brought in to the flock of true believers in recent months and years, and they are unsure as to WHO is a minister, and who is not!

For that reason, we give you the following LIST, which comprises ALL the God-called and ordained ministers, deacons and deaconesses in the True Church of God for today!

The one whom God has placed in the office of APOSTLE AND GENERAL PASTOR: Herbert W. Armstrong." (emphasis mine)

A good summary about this is found in John Robinson's article:

"The first time Herbert Armstrong was called an apostle in public was in a sermon in 1951 during the Feast of Tabernacles.

"The first proclamation before the church that God had filled the office of apostle was made by Herman Hoeh in his sermon at the Feast of Tabernacles at Belknap Springs, Oregon, in 1951," Mr. Armstrong wrote of the incident a few years later in the February-March 1955 issue of *The Good News*.

Mr. Armstrong revealed that Mr. Hoeh had not consulted him about what he was going to announce in his sermon. "I had no inkling of what he was to say," Mr. Armstrong wrote.

"At that time his words hit my startled ears like an atomic bomb and my first impulse was to deny and correct his statement immediately. Only propriety restrained this impulse. I felt Mr. Hoeh was just a little young and carried away with himself. Never in my life had I thought of occupying such an office."

But, in the 1955 *Good News* article, he acknowledged his apostleship.

"But in the light of events the fact of how God has set up His church today has become self-evident to all. It is God's doing. If one does find, unexpectedly, that God has set him such an office, there is only one choice—he must accept it with full humility realizing personal lack, and surrendering the self totally to God as an instrument in His hands, relying wholly on God for guidance and every power and need."

To Mr. Armstrong's credit, he did not dwell on his apostleship for the next 20 years. For most of the next two decades, he would rarely call himself an apostle. He did, however, with increasing frequency over those years call himself "the one you [the ministers and other brethren] call an apostle."

By the 1970s he was calling himself an apostle with growing frequency. In his final decade of life, he often billed himself as the "sole apostle of the 20th century."¹¹

Indeed, I recall talking with a WCG minister around 1973 or 1974 about this – I queried whether James or Peter or John was the leader of the early Church. He explained to me that Peter was the overarching leader while Paul was the Apostle sent to the gentiles. So this was before HWA put the Church back on the track and supposedly appointed himself as successor to Peter – for he understood this concept for decades prior to the events of the 1970s.

In 1958 Herman Hoeh wrote an article on "Government in Our Church"¹²:

"Among the twelve apostles, Peter was pre-eminent, although they all held EQUALITY OF OFFICE. Peter had no one-man dictatorial authority over the other eleven. Peter was never a pope. The same authority vested in Peter was also vested by Jesus in the other apostles (Mat. 16:19; 18:18 and John 20:23). **Yet Peter was their LEADER** because he was born with special leadership qualities and ability to make decisions. Jesus gives spiritual talents according to the natural talents with which a person is born.

Paul, himself, said that Jesus entrusted Peter with the direction of the gospel to Israel AS Jesus had entrusted Paul with the direction of the gospel to the Gentiles (Galatians 2:7 and 8). But in another place we find that the apostles SENT Peter and John to Samaria, though Peter may have suggested this (Acts 8:14). **His gifts carried the greatest weight in the Church** and would have been especially helpful to the newly converted Samaritans. Among the twelve there must have been such a spirit of peace and love that they had perfect harmony without jealousy in equality of rank and office. They did not quarrel among themselves by trying to build on one

¹¹ Robinson: "History of government in the WCG", *In Transition*, 16 Dec

¹² Hoeh 1958

another's foundation (Rom. 15:20); they did not compete with one another or take disciples from another ...

"But HE THAT IS GREATEST AMONG YOU, let him be as the younger; and HE THAT IS CHIEF, as he that doth serve. For," said Jesus, "I am among you as he that serveth" -- yet he was their Lord and Master!

So there ARE differences in authority in God's government; some are GREATER than others; some are CHIEF. But they act as SERVANTS OF GOD, not as lords over God's heritage" ...

Down through the ages Jesus has chosen directly those who serve in the capacity of apostles -- His direct representatives bearing His authority. **The world does not use the title apostle for the highest office in the Church** under Christ. Instead, it has invented other lofty titles -- primate, archbishop, pope, all offices of men's devising." [emphasis mine]

Here Herman Hoeh infers that Herbert W Armstrong was an Apostle of God. And he understood that indeed Peter was the human leader of the first century Church of God.

Herbert Armstrong noted in his *Good News* article "Must God's Ministers Be Ordained By The Hand of Man?" published in 1960:

"Now, Gal. 1:18, after these three years in Arabia Saul returned to Damascus, and went up to Jerusalem **to see Peter -- to report to the CHIEF Apostle**. But he saw none of the apostles on this trip, except Peter, and James, the Lord's brother. This must be the trip to Jerusalem described in Acts 9:23-27. After this "many days," the Jews at Damascus plotted to kill Saul, but Christian disciples let him down over the wall of the city in a basket by night, and he escaped, and went to Jerusalem, attempting there to join the disciples. But they were afraid of him. Then Barnabas took Saul and brought him to the apostles -- just the two, Peter and James, according to Gal. 1:18-19, to whom he reported his heavenly vision, Christ's commission, and his conversion. Thereupon Saul went in and out with Peter and James at the Temple, preaching boldly -- but the Jews soon sought to kill him. At this time, while Saul was in a trance, praying in the Temple, Christ again appeared, telling Saul to leave Jerusalem, and that he was to be sent to the Gentiles (Acts 22:17-21). Then the Christian brethren brought Saul to Caesarea, and sent him off to Tarsus, his home city...

Before Saul did any more than a little preparatory preaching, asserting that Jesus was the prophesied Messiah -- even before his ordination as an apostle -- **he went to Jerusalem to see Peter -- to report to Peter his vision from Christ, and the commission Christ had given him**. It is evident from the Scriptural account that Peter accepted him. During his 15 days with Peter in Jerusalem, he roomed with Peter (Gal. 1:18)." [emphasis mine]

Notice – Herbert Armstrong knew that Peter was the leading Apostle – that it is not workable to have 12 Apostles (or more) all being on an equal footing – there must be a clear leader – even among the Apostles. In 1978 he wrote the article “How Christ Gives the Church its Beliefs” which provided further insights into this doctrine and its historical development (see the appendix below).

Concluding Remarks

Let me be clear about this: not every century has seen an Apostle or leading Apostle at the helm of the Church of God. But it seems they are raised up periodically to commence or lead a Church of God revival or era.

Recall what Peter wrote:

“Knowing that shortly I must put off my tabernacle, even as our Lord Jesus Christ hath shewed me. Moreover I will endeavour that ye may be able after my decease to have these things always in remembrance” (II Pet 1:14-15).

Is there a similarity here to HWA’s writing’s?

Are we still reading his writings or listening to his sermons and Bible studies on MP3 or tapes? Do we read the literature he wrote or others that served under him? Do we follow the doctrines while simultaneously growing in grace and knowledge? Have we read his work *Mystery of the Ages*, which was published shortly before his death?

And do we realise that ‘knowledge shall increase’ in these last days (Dan 12:4)?

If so, it may behove some of us to acknowledge Herbert W Armstrong’s Apostleship – that he followed in the footsteps of the leading Apostle, Peter.

In this regard, I highly recommend the excellent booklet ***Just what is an APOSTLE?*** – you can find further details for this free booklet in the bibliography below.

From the time of Abraham to Christ God’s religion continued through seven successive eras or phases which included revivals of the Work.

Note Moses, Hezekiah, Ezra etc - God raised up a Torah-based Works under the helm of a strong leader who put things ‘back on the track’. This necessitated centralised administration and an purifying of the doctrine thereby. Today we would term this a ‘corporate structure.’ Localism and congregationalism do not form part of the model. They only time that localism is of some use is when the Church is scattered due to persecution and must survive locally.

The remnants of this religion formed synagogues in which to learn, worship and fellowship. To the Jews, together they constituted an assembly. The Hebrew is *edah* which *synagogue* was a common synonym. The Greek equivalent is *ekklesia* which may be found on twelve occasions

on the New Testament.¹³ In both cases the root meaning was *to call* or *to convene* elders at the town gates to discuss issues.¹⁴ The synagogue was the central venue for all major events in the community and was the centre of their religious and social lives. A certain degree of exclusivism pervaded. They believed that they were the true religion, but they consisted of several groupings. Yet they acknowledged each other despite differences. This might be likened to the old Worldwide Church of God and the Church of God (seventh day) and daughter churches.

Then from the first century until the return of Christ, the continuers of this religion, the assembly of true believers – the Church of God – also experiences seven eras along with revivals and restorations.

In the twentieth century Herbert Armstrong continued in the great tradition of men that God raised up to revive His Work. He was ordained the 40th of 70 elders. Armstrong explained in his Autobiography that he received his ordination certificate signed by OJ Runcorn and IE Curtis (2nd March 1931) (refer to chapter 24 of Armstrong's Autobiography for further details):

"I have in my old files my Ministerial License Certificate, which is reproduced in this autobiography, dated March 2, 1932, and signed by O. J. Runcorn as President, and Mrs. I. E. Curtis as Secretary. This was almost a year after I was ordained -- probably my second certificate."

The certificate states:

"This official document is to certify that H.W. Armstrong is a recognized licensed minister, **and apostle** of the true primitive faith, that he has labored for Jesus, and among this people for the required period before being recognized in this capacity..." [emphasis mine]

Note that he was credentialed as a minister (elder), but like the other 69, was called an apostle. This was a job description, not a ministerial rank as such. However, after his ordination, the Church of God (seventh day) was weighed in the balance and found wanting. The mantle to undertake a large Work fell on Armstrong. And he was the only one of the 70 that actually operated like an Apostle. This became apparent to him by the early 1950s.

One of the great strengths of the Philadelphia Era under Herbert W Armstrong was its corporate structure. Armstrong learned that the local congregational structure that he had experienced would not work in a modern world to take the Gospel message to the world. For each Church era to function best in the world or culture/civilization it found itself in, it was best for it to form a structure that fitted the need. It is no use trying to use outmoded structure or irrelevant structures not suited to a particular environment.

¹³ In the Old Testament the parallel word is *qahal* which means the *assembly* or *church* in modern English. It is also used on twelve occasions (Num 16:3; 20:4; Deut 23:1-8; 1Chron 28:8; Mich 2:4; Lam 1:10; Neh 13:1). Therefore the New Testament writers are in effect saying to the Pharisees and others "we are the true continuum of the true religion based upon the Torah – and you are not."

¹⁴ Today the Church is called to assemble from out of society– like Israel and as we are told to do so in Rev. 18:4.

Thus corporate structure, like any structure and any strength (whether it be for a church, nation, company or individual), may also be used by cunning individuals who wish to harass others behind the leader's back.

He followed his instincts, guided by Almighty God – today people are taught by the world to ignore their instincts to even fear them. Herbert Armstrong knew that to ignore his instincts and to not appreciate them in others would be disastrous. He knew where he was going and stuck by that course. He knew where people functioned best, and slotted them into appropriate positions.

Because, when people act according to their instincts (especially when guided by God), then they go into overdrive, cruising toward a goal. Their energy levels are inexhaustible. That is why nepotism is wrong. Bias, unfairness, jealousy and such like lead to ineptitude, incompetence and watering-down.

So, it is another truism which states that 'that which makes us great is also that which brings us low'. Ignore this principal at your peril – it cannot be escaped, just as death and taxes cannot be escaped. Or the revolving of the earth, the rising of the sun or the thrashing of the seas.

There is no falling and no tragedy without greatness – an organization or person has to be great to be able to fall down.

It is well-known that greatness and uniqueness are symbiotic – one cannot be without the other. So it was with the Philadelphia Era – it stood out like a beacon in a dark, confused world.

And so one of the Philadelphia Era's great strengths, its structure, was used against it by the apostates. They illegally and immorally took over the central power of the Church and began a campaign to change the hearts and minds of members. They slotted their friends and allies into positions (talk about rank nepotism) and harassed the true believers. Even supposed 'conservative' ministers were enrolled to harass anyone that whispered a word against the apostates – adherence to government is more important to these people than the truth. This harassment commenced weeks after power was seized and has continued without ceasing. This is persecution in a modern sense.

So, we had a modern Peter in the Church, as we have seen. Did we accept or reject him?:

“Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that receiveth whomsoever I **send** receiveth me; and he that receiveth me receiveth him that **sent** me.” (John 13:20)

In summary, Peter:

- Is mentioned many more times than the other Apostles

- When mentioned along with the others, he is almost invariably mentioned first
- He made the final decision at the conference of Acts 15
- In many scriptures Peter is singled out by name, while all the others are called “they”
- He is singled out to feed the flock.

So, there can be no doubt – Peter was the leading Apostle!

NB: this article should be read in conjunction with ***The Seven Eras of Ancient Israel – Type of the Eras of the True Church?*** and ***Seven Restorations of the Work of the Church of God*** available at http://www.originofnations.org/HRP_Papers/doc/index.htm

Appendix

Extracts from “How Christ Gives the Church its Beliefs”, *Worldwide News*, 20 Nov. 1978, by Herbert W Armstrong

“One sent forth

The word apostle means “*one sent forth.*”

The meaning here is unmistakable – as Peter was the *chief apostle* to Israel and Judah, so Paul was chief apostle to the gentiles.

Nevertheless, when ALL of the scriptures on this subject are put together, it becomes certain that Peter, in fact, was the overall chief apostle.

The New Testament Church of God received all its teachings, practices, customs, FROM THE APOSTLES, with Peter chief over all of the others.

I know well that, in view of Roman Catholic teachings regarding Peter being the first pope, this statement will be challenged by many – but it is TRUE, nevertheless.

Peter did have primacy as chief apostle! I shall amply prove that. YET PETER WAS NOT A POPE! There is a vast DIFFERENCE!

For remember an apostle is “ONE SENT FORTH WITH THE GOSPEL.” The Roman Catholic pope sits permanently (unless, as some few have done, he takes a trip to some other place – not as carrying the gospel, but as a POLITICAL HEAD OF STATE).

Yet the apostles were the teachers, who instilled in the Church the BELIEFS, TEACHINGS, PRACTICES AND CUSTOMS of the Church. And all members of the Church were required by God to BELIEVE AND SPEAK THE SAME THING! ...

Source of Church beliefs

Let's SET THIS STRAIGHT, once and for all! The SOURCE of the beliefs, teaching, customs and practices in the Church of God is GOD HIMSELF! Not any man. Jesus said “I have spoken nothing of myself” – the FATHER had instructed Him! Jesus, in turn, *taught His apostles in Person!*

THERE WAS NO DOCTRINAL BOARD! The teachings of the Church did not come from a COUNCIL of ministers and/or lay members, who voted on what to believe.

Right here, SOME are going to ask “WHAT ABOUT ACTS 15? Wasn't that the first Church Council in Jerusalem, to settle points of doctrine?” THE ANSWER IS **NO!** And I intend to devote enough space in this article to *clear up this point!*

So far, I have shown you from Galatians 1 and 2 that Peter was the chief apostle to the house of Israel and Paul to the gentiles. Now I will show you that Peter actually had PRIMACY OVER ALL.

At the very founding of the New Testament Church of God, it was Peter who stood up and explained what had occurred – preaching the first sermon in the Church that led to 3,000 converts. (Acts 2:14-39)

A day or two later, the number became 5,000. It was *Peter* who said to the cripple “In the name of Jesus Christ of Nazareth rise up and walk.” (Acts 3:6) It was PETER who preached the sermon to the crowd thus attracted that converted 2,000 more.

Next came the incident of Annanias and Sapphira stealing and lying. It was Peter who spoke and caused them to drop dead.

Next, when signs and wonders were performed by the apostles, it was PETER’S SHADOW, as he passed by, that healed the sick.

Next the apostles were brought before the high priest and the council, and the spokesman for the apostles was PETER, who said “...We ought to obey God rather than men.” (Acts 5:29)

Coming to Acts 8 where Phillip, then a deacon, went to Samaria, and when the people believed Phillip preaching the things concerning the Kingdom of God, they were baptized. It was Peter and John who went down to Samaria, laid hands on the newly baptized (gentiles) and prayed for the Holy Spirit to be given to them. This is the best example of the Church of God’s *custom* of laying hands on the baptized for receiving the Holy Spirit – and PETER was the leader, for when Simon the sorcerer tried to buy an apostleship with money it was PETER who said to him (the first pope) “...Thy money perish with thee...for I perceive that thou art in the gall of bitterness, and in the bond of iniquity.” (Acts 8:20-23) He was the pater or peter - papa - pope of the Babylonian Mystery religion. Paul writes (II Thessalonians 2:7) “For the mystery of iniquity doth already work...” That religion, having without authority, appropriated the name “Christianity”, is named in Revelation 17:5 “MYSTERY BABYLON THE GREAT.”

Is it not ironic that the one who rebuked and condemned the first pope was Cephas, whom Christ had called PETER.

But continue on.

We come to Acts 10. Although Paul was later to be made apostle to the gentiles, the time had come to first open salvation to the gentiles officially. And this was done by PETER, not Paul. It was PETER whom God sent to the house of the gentile Cornelius, to teach him. It was PETER who convinced the others at Jerusalem that God had opened salvation to the gentiles (Acts 11).

Peter not a pope

UNDERSTAND this about Peter. HE WAS NOT A PROUD RULING POPE. He was a humble man, strong in Christ's gospel and faith, but he did not try to lord it over others or always take the top seat, unless his position required. He was HUMAN, still, though since the Day of Pentecost he had the Holy Spirit. When Peter came to Antioch, more than 14 years after the founding of the Church, his human nature showed when he withdrew from the gentiles after some Jewish converts arrived from Jerusalem. Paul rebuked Peter publicly for this. But then, we might well remember that Paul was also human. This event occurred in the chief gentile church, and Paul was a little nettled at seeing Peter withdraw from eating with gentiles because some had arrived from Jerusalem. This incident reflects the humanity of both Peter and Paul – and demonstrates also that Peter was not a pope, else Paul would never have dared rebuke him.

Now we come to that crucial 15th chapter of Acts.

Few in God's Church have fully understood this chapter. I shall go into sufficient detail to MAKE IT CLEAR.

Unauthorized teachers

Notice verse 1 "...certain men which came down from Judaea taught the brethren, and said, Except ye be circumcised after the manner of Moses, ye cannot be saved." Who were these men? NOT apostles, or they would have been named.

Right here, MARK WELL THIS POINT, GOD PUT HIS TRUTH INTO HIS CHURCH THROUGH CHRIST AND THROUGH THE APOSTLES! These men were not apostles. What they taught was NOT FROM JESUS!

The apostle Paul KNEW WELL that God gave His Church its teachings only through Christ and the apostles. Immediately Paul withstood these unauthorized teachers.

Verse 2 "When therefore Paul and Barnabas had no small dissension and disputation with them, they determined that Paul and Barnabas, and certain other of them, should go up to Jerusalem unto the apostles and elders about this question."

The men from Judea were undoubtedly Jewish Christians who had not received authentic teaching through the apostles, nor did they recognize Paul's authority as an apostle, or they would not have entered in such a disputation with him.

Undoubtedly being Jewish converts, without full apostolic teaching, they looked on the Church as merely an *extension* of Judaism. Incidentally, the Judaism of that time was **NOT** Mosaic teaching. But after the days of Ezra and Nehemiah, the only organized Jewish religion was among the descendants of the contingent of Jews sent back to Jerusalem from Persian Captivity (see Ezra 1:1-3) 70 years after the destruction of Solomon's temple, to build the second temple – to which Jesus later came. After Ezra and Nehemiah, the rabbis gradually made a considerable change in the old covenant religion given Israel by Moses.

... Now back to Acts 15.

These Jews who had come down to Antioch thought this system of PENANCE was still in effect. In Galatians 5:3, Paul shows that if a man was circumcised as a legal ritual, he became a debtor to the WHOLE RITUAL LAW – and the context is speaking of the RITUAL law, though this verse does not use “works of the law”.

Paul was quite willing to take this to Jerusalem, BECAUSE PETER WAS THERE AT THE TIME.

This visit of Paul to Jerusalem is undoubtedly the one mentioned in Galatians 2. Apparently, according to Galatians 2:9, the only apostles at Jerusalem at that time were Peter, James and John.

But in Acts 15:4, it is stated: “And when they were come to Jerusalem, they were received of the Church, and of the apostles and elders...”

Then, verse 6 “And the apostles and elders came together for to consider of this matter.” All ordained ministers are called elders in the Bible. This means the lay members were excluded, but the apostles and ordained ministers ranked lower than apostles came together in this council.

Peter settles the question

Now we see that even these elders were not clear on this matter of circumcision. For, verse 7 “And when there had been much disputing, PETER rose up...” *and settled the matter!*

Continue, verses 7-11 “...PETER rose up, and said unto the, Men and brethren, ye know how that a good while ago God made the choice among us, that the Gentiles by my mouth should hear the word of the gospel and believe. And God, which knoweth the hearts, bare them witness, giving them the Holy Spirit, even as he did unto us. And put no difference between us and them, purifying their hearts by faith. Now therefore why tempt ye God, to put a yoke on the neck of the disciples, which neither our fathers nor we were able to bear? But we believe through the GRACE [not ritual penance] of the Lord Jesus Christ we shall be saved, even as they.”

Verse 12, “Then all the multitude kept silence...” PETER had settled the question!

Right here, NOTE CAREFULLY! Peter was an apostle - ONE SENT FORTH proclaiming the Gospel, not a resident pastor of a church. James was pastor of the Jerusalem church at the time, though also an apostle. Therefore, James acted as chairman of the meeting – even though PETER gave the DECISION. As a matter of protocol, as chairman (as we would call it today) we come now to verse 13.

James speaks as chairman

“And after they had held their peace, James answered, saying, Men and brethren, hearken unto me. Simeon has declared...” in other words, acting as chairman of the meeting, James in effect started by saying , “PETER has declared” – Peter had settled it – “...how God at the first did visit the Gentiles, to take out of them a people for his name.” Again affirming Peter’s primacy – for when God decided to open salvation to the gentiles – to CALL some of them to salvation, whereas heretofore God had

called only Israelites (and even them NOT to salvation except the prophets) – when God opened salvation to the gentiles, He used Peter to do it! Now continue James speech:

“And to this agree the words of the prophets; as it is written, After this I will return, and will build again the tabernacle of David, which is fallen down; and I will build again the ruins thereof, and I will set it up: That the residue of men might seek after the Lord, and all the Gentiles, upon whom my name is called, saith the Lord, who doeth all these things.”

This was quoted from Amos 9:11-12.

... In this case, it was PETER, chief apostle, who set the decision. The letter they then sent to the church at Antioch specified that this decision was inspired by the HOLY SPIRIT. PETER set it, James, local pastor acting as chairman of the meeting, confirmed Peter’s decision, making it OFFICIAL, inspired by the HOLY SPIRIT.

Jesus gave Peter primacy

In all these successive instances, I have shown you, step by step, that Peter was LEADER of the apostles – and that the Church received its teachings and doctrines FROM THE APOSTLES!

But God has always worked primarily through ONE MAN at a time – as Abraham, then Isaac, then Jacob, then Joseph – later Moses, then Joshua, later Samuel, then David – and, in the New Testament Church, PETER. But Peter was an apostle – one sent forth proclaiming the message – not a resident pope over a religious hierarchy.

Now notice how JESUS gave Peter primacy over the apostles.

Jesus had asked His apostles to be whom they said Jesus really was, “And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God.. And Jesus said unto him [*UNTO PETER*] Blessed art thou, Simon Barjona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven. And I say also unto thee [*UNTO PETER*] That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church; and the gates of hell [the grave] shall not prevail against it. And I will give unto THEE [*PETER not the Church*] the keys of the kingdom of heaven: and whatsoever thou [*PETER*] shalt bind on earth shall be bound in heaven, and whatsoever thou shalt loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven.” (Matthew 16:16-19)” [emphasis mine]

Appendix

Herbert W Armstrong's Ordination Certificate

**Ministerial License
Certificate.**

ISSUED BY THE STATE CONFERENCE OF
THE CHURCH OF GOD.

Acts 20: 28 1 Cor. 1: 2, 2 Cor. 1: 1, Gal. 1: 13,
1 Thess. 2: 14, 1 Tim. 3: 5, 15.


This official document is to certify that Herbert W. Armstrong
is a recognized licensed minister, and apostle of the true primi-
tive faith, that he has labored for Jesus, and among this people
for the required period before being recognized in this capacity.
He is a man of high christian character, able to defend the true
doctrines set forth by Christ and the apostles, qualified and com-
missioned to preach the gospel, and administer the ordinance of
baptism.

Issued on this 2 day of March, the year 1932.
Good for one year from date.

Signed O. J. Runcorn Pres.
Address Salem Ore.

Mrs. E. C. Curtis Sec.
Address Albany Ore.

Minister's Signature Herbert W. Armstrong
Address _____



Bibliography

- Armstrong, HW (1986) *Autobiography of Herbert W Armstrong* (vol. 1). Ambassador College. Pasadena.
- Armstrong, HW (1960) "Must God's Ministers Be Ordained By The Hand of Man?", *Good News*, January
- Armstrong, HW (1978) "How Christ Gives the Church its Beliefs", *Worldwide News*, 20 Nov: 1
- Armstrong, HW (1957) "How God Calls His Ministers", *Good News*, September, vol 6, no 9
- Church of God in Wales (2003) *Just what is an APOSTLE?*. Whitland, UK (www.cogiw.org)
- Hoeh, HL (1958) "Government in Our Church", *Good News*, June-July, Vol 7, No 6
- Robinson, J (1996) "History of government in the WCG", *In Transition*, 16 Dec
- Robinson, J (1997) "WCG governmental history traced up to Tkach era", *In Transition*, 31 Jan
- Bible Works for Windows* software
- Strong's Concordance*

Index

Apostles.....	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 18, 20
Armstrong.....	8, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 28
Bible.....	4, 8, 12, 13, 14, 18, 25, 28
Big Three.....	8
captivity.....	24
Christ.....	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28
Church of God (seventh day).....	19
Council of Elders.....	4, 14
David.....	12, 14, 26
God.....	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28
<i>Good News</i>	15, 16, 17, 28
Herbert W Armstrong.....	13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 22
Hoeh.....	8, 15, 16, 17, 28
House of Israel.....	6
Israel.....	4, 12, 14, 16, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24
James.....	4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 16, 17, 25, 26
Jesus.....	4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26
John.....	3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 15, 16, 20, 23, 25
Judah.....	13, 22
Kingdom of God.....	23
McNair.....	8
Meredith.....	8
Messiah.....	17
<i>Mystery of the Ages</i>	18
Neff.....	8
Paul.....	6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 16, 22, 23, 24, 25
Peter.....	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26
Philadelphia Era.....	19, 20
restorations.....	21
Roman.....	6, 22
Satan.....	6
Simon.....	4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 23, 26
Spirit.....	3, 11, 23, 24, 25
temple.....	17
Thomas.....	4, 9
WCG.....	8, 14, 15, 16, 28
Work.....	3, 19, 21
Worldwide Church of God.....	19